

**APPENDIX A: USDA GUIDE FOR PREPARING ENVIRONMENTAL
ASSESSMENTS UNDER §1907-C FOR PROJECTS
WITH A CEQA DOCUMENT**

ATTACHMENT C**Guide for Preparing Environmental Assessments Under § 1970-C****For Projects with a CEQA Document**

This attachment is to be used for those proposals that have had a CEQA document (negative declaration, mitigated negative declaration or environmental impact report) prepared and the level of NEPA review is an Environmental Assessment (EA). The NEPA EA should not be finalized until the CEQA “Notice of Determination” has been issued or in the case of an Environmental Impact Report (EIR), not until the “Notice of Completion” for the draft EIR has been issued.

For each of the EA sections listed below insert the page number where the item is discussed in the CEQA document. If the CEQA document does not address the required information, please add a NEPA exhibit addressing the needed information. If the requested information is not applicable to the project, enter a “N/A”. For each resource analyzed the determination of effect must be based on NEPA language and not CEQA.

Attached is the following CEQA document:

Negative Declaration Mitigated Negative Declaration Environmental Impact Report

Information to be included in the Environmental Assessment

	CEQA Page or <u>NEPA Exhibit</u>
1.0) PROJECT DESCRIPTION/PURPOSE AND NEED	
1.1) Project description and location	
1) Description of all land purchases, easements, rights-of-way procurement, etc. project-related activities. The EA must document the land ownership of each project site and/or right-of-way (ROW) and easements.	Section 2.1, p. 2-1 _____
2) Description of proposed clearing, grading, excavation, paving, new construction, utility installations, fencing, etc. that will be involved with the project.	Section 2.5, pp. 2-8 to 2-10 Section 2.6, pp. 2-131to 2-14 _____
3) Provide a location description (address, APN, Section, Township & Range) and maps for each site affected by project-related activities.	Section 2.3, p. 2-4 _____
a) Maps must be equivalent to a Geological Survey 7.5-minute quadrangle map at a map scale of 1:24,000; larger scale maps may be provided for site-specific proposals.	Figure 2-2, p. 2-5 Figure 2-3, p. 2-6 _____

b) All project elements, must be clearly shown on a map. If appropriate, photographs or aerial photographs of site-specific conditions may also be provided.

Figure 2-6, p. 2-14

12 Purpose and Need

1) Underlying purpose of and need for the applicant’s proposal and for which Agency financial assistance is being requested;

Section 2.2, pp. 2-1 to 2-2

2) Agency’s authority and program objectives in responding to the proposal under consideration.

Section 2.2, p. 2-2

In order to meet the second criterion (b) stated above, the following statement describing the Agency’s program objectives **must** be included in this section

Section 2.2, p. 2-2

“USDA, Rural Development is a mission area that includes three federal agencies – Rural Business-Cooperative Service, Rural Housing Service, and Rural Utilities Service. The agencies have in excess of 50 programs that provide financial assistance and a variety of technical and educational assistance to eligible rural and tribal populations, eligible communities, individuals, cooperatives, and other entities with a goal of improving the quality of life, sustainability, infrastructure, economic opportunity, development, and security in rural America. Financial assistance can include direct loans, guaranteed loans, and grants in order to accomplish program objectives.”

In addition to this broad mission statement, the EA should identify the specific program authority under which the applicant is seeking federal financial assistance (if applicants are unsure of the exact program title and authority, contact the Agency’s program officials).

2.0) ALTERNATIVES EVALUATED INCLUDING THE PROPOSED ACTION

2.1 Proposed Action

All EAs must evaluate other reasonable alternatives whenever the proposal involves potential adverse effects to environmental resources.

Section 4, pp. 4-1 to 4-2

2.2 Other Alternatives Available

- Sites
- Designs
- Sources of Services

Section 4.1, pp. 4-1 to 4-2

2.3 No Action Alternative

For applicant proposals that are less complicated, single-site actions, and in accordance with 7 CFR § 1970.13(a), applicants are only required to consider and document the analysis of the “No Action” alternatives in the EA as long as there are no potential adverse effects to environmental resources.

Section 4.2, pp. 4-2 to 4-3
Section 4.3, pp. 4-3 to 4-12

3.0) Affected Environment and Environmental Consequences

3.1 LAND USE

3.1.1 General Land Use

- | | |
|--|--|
| 1) Existing zoning ordinances, land use plans, development plans, etc. | Section 3.11, pp. 3-65 to
3-66
<hr/> |
| 2) Total land area required and/or proposed for purchase and the area that will be disturbed by construction for and operation of the proposal; | Section 2.6, p. 2-12
<hr/> |
| 3) Current land uses in the area affected by the proposal, such as residential, commercial, agricultural, rangeland, forest land, recreational, etc. | Section 3.11, pp. 3-65 to
3-66
<hr/> |
| 4) Compatibility of the proposal with existing, if any, local, regional or state land use plans or controls; | Section 3.11, pp. 3-65 to
3-66
<hr/> |
| 5) If necessary, any mitigation measures. | N/A
<hr/> |

3.1.2 IMPORTANT FARMLAND

- | | |
|---|---------------------------------------|
| 1) Areas of important farmland directly or indirectly affected by the proposal including the amount of area to be disturbed; consider whether alternatives are available that will avoid a conversion of important farmland to nonagricultural uses. A map of important farmland should be included. | Section 3.2, pp. 3-9 to 3-13
<hr/> |
| 2) The ER must document whether any project site (except utility line construction) will convert agricultural lands defined as important by the Farmland Protection Act. Consultation with USDA NRCS, if appropriate, will commence. NRCS uses a land evaluation and site assessment (LESA) system to establish a “farmland conversion impact rating” score on proposed project sites. This score is used as an indicator for applicants and the Agency to consider alternative sites if the potential adverse impacts on farmland exceed the recommended allowable level (if total points are equal or exceed 160, alternative sites must be considered). The assessment is completed on a NRCS form, AD-1006 , Farmland Conversion Impact Rating. The portions on the form that are indicated to be completed by a federal agency can be input by applicants in coordination with the Agency. The results will be documented on the AD-1006 and if appropriate on NRCS-CPA-106; | Section 3.2, pp. 3-9 to 3-13
<hr/> |
| 3) The effects (direct, indirect, and cumulative) to important farmland; | Section 3.2, pp. 3-9 to 3-13
<hr/> |
| 4) If necessary, any mitigation measures. | N/A
<hr/> |
| 5) If important farmland conversion will occur the Agency, will coordinate the submittal of Form AD-1006 to the NRCS for processing. Implementation guidelines are located at 1970 Subpart L – “Land Use and Formally Classified Lands.” | N/A
<hr/> |

3.1.3 FORMALLY CLASSIFIED LANDS

- | | |
|--|--|
| 1) The location, type, and amount of such lands and waters that would be affected by the proposal and any alternatives considered; note that linear proposals that may be using a right-of-way (ROW) through classified lands must be coordinated with the appropriate land managing agency(ies) or tribes as early as possible; | Section 3.11, p. 3-66 |
| 2) Correspondence and any comments received from agencies and/or tribes administering the potentially affected lands; specify if any special use or other permits are required and the process for obtaining them; | N/A |
| 3) Visual impacts from the proposal; | Section 3.1, p. 3-5 to 3-8 |
| 4) The effects (direct and indirect) to any such resources; | Section 3.11, p. 3-66 |
| 5) If necessary, any mitigation measures. | N/A |

3.2 FLOODPLAINS

- | | |
|--|--|
| 1) If the proposal or any portion thereof will be located in a 100-year floodplain or 500-year floodplain for critical facilities, particularly if it is proposed to be located in the designated floodway (floodways are defined as an area identified on a FIRM or FHBM that represents the portion of the floodplain that carries the majority of the flood flow and often is associated with high velocity flows and debris impact); | Section 3.10, pp. 3-58 to 3-64 |
| 2) The area of floodplain potentially affected; indicate graphically the location of proposal components or facilities and evaluate impacts to the floodplain. A map should be included. | Figure 3-7, p. 3-61 |
| 3) Any local floodplain development requirements and permits; | N/A |
| 4) As applicable and discussed above, the information necessary to meet the requirements of the eight-step process outlined in E.O. 11988; | N/A |
| 5) Practicable alternatives to locating facilities in a floodplain (include alternative sites or routes located outside the floodplain); | N/A |
| 6) If the determination is made that no practicable alternatives exist to locating in the floodplain, a justification and recommended measures to minimize impacts and restore and preserve floodplain values; | N/A |
| 7) If a structure will be located within a floodplain, a completed FEMA Form 086-0-32; | N/A |
| 8) If necessary, any mitigation measures. | N/A |

3.3 WETLANDS

- | | |
|---|--|
| 1) Location of wetlands in relation to the area affected by the proposal, the amount (acres or linear feet) that would be physically affected by proposed construction, and a description of potential impacts (loss/conversion, temporary impact with hydrological or other indirect impacts, restoration efforts, etc.); | Section 3.4, p. 3-27, p. 3-31
<hr/> |
| 2) If potential wetlands impacts are likely, applicants must develop and submit the analysis and justification to the Agency for concurrence that no practicable alternative exists for any affects to or conversions of wetlands. For actions involving linear utility infrastructure where utilities are proposed to be installed in existing, previously disturbed rights-of-way or that are authorized under applicable Clean Water Act, Section 404 nationwide permits will not require the consideration of alternatives. | N/A
<hr/> |
| 3) If appropriate for actions that require individuals permits, function and habitat value of wetlands likely affected by the proposal; | N/A
<hr/> |
| 4) Type of permit necessary and current status of USACE review; | N/A
<hr/> |
| 5) If necessary, any mitigation measures (special conditions outlined in an USACE permit) to avoid, minimize or compensate for any impacts to wetlands. | N/A
<hr/> |
| 6) Provide any Wetland Delineation Reports prepared for the project. | N/A
<hr/> |

ConAct Programs: Agency programs authorized under Section 363 of the Consolidated Farm and Rural Development Act may not utilize Agency funds to fill, alter or manipulate a wetland. Check with the Agency to ensure that the program you are applying for does not need to separate funds for wetland impacts, if proposed.

3.4 WATER RESOURCES

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) Location of water bodies that may be receiving waters for wastewater effluent discharges for existing and proposed facilities; | N/A
<hr/> |
| 2) Location of water bodies used as sources of potable or industrial water; | N/A
<hr/> |
| 3) All aquifers utilized for and affected by water supply operations or that may be affected by runoff, infiltration, or any operational activities from wastewater treatment or solid waste facilities; | Section 3.10, pp. 3-58 to 3-60
<hr/> |
| 4) Any groundwater protection programs for sole source aquifers or recharge areas and the results and status of any coordination with USEPA or state agencies; | Section 3.10, p. 3-64
<hr/> |
| 5) Any watershed management plans or other land use plans in the area affected by the proposal, project construction activities, or facility operations; | Section 3.10, pp. 3-58 to 3-64
<hr/> |

- 6) Possible effects from temporary construction activities and construction best management practices that need to be instituted during construction; Section 3.10, pp. 3-62

- 7) If necessary, any mitigation measures. N/A

3.5 COASTAL RESOURCES

- 1) Identify activities that are proposed to be located in the coastal zone or will otherwise affect those areas; N/A

- 2) Coordination conducted with the State coastal management program office concerning the proposal’s consistency determination, and documentation of State CMP concurrence; N/A

- 3) Status of consistency determination with State CMP Office using CZMA worksheet or similar documentation; N/A

- 4) If necessary, mitigation measures required to achieve consistency with the State’s coastal management program. N/A

3.6 BIOLOGICAL RESOURCES

3.6.1 General Fish, Wildlife, and Vegetation Issues

- 1. The vegetative composition and fish and wildlife species that are likely to inhabit the area affected by the proposal; consider the relative amount of each vegetation type, and the extent to which each type of vegetation would be affected; Section 3.4, pp. 3-22 to 3-27

- 2. Potential impacts to fish and wildlife resources. Section 3.4, pp. 3-27 to 3-31

- 3. An estimate of the amount of vegetation clearing required for the proposal and each alternative considered; include a description of vegetation clearing methodology and future maintenance practices; Section 3.4, p. 3-28

- 4. The short and long-term effects of proposed vegetative clearing, including those related to right-of-way or other maintenance practices; Section 3.4, p. 3-28

- 5. Any special areas of concern such as riparian zones, wetlands, prairie remnants, or forested tracts (particularly bottomland hardwoods or old growth) that may require more detailed information or that may be afforded special protection; Section 3.4, pp. 3-25 to 3-28

- 6. Potential impacts to bald or golden eagles. These impacts may result from the proximity of proposal activities to these species’ nests, thus disturbing or interfering with their normal breeding, feeding, or sheltering habits and causing injury, death, or nest abandonment; Section 3.4, p. 3-30 to 3-31

- 7. If necessary, any mitigation measures. N/A

3.6.2 ESA Listed Threatened and Endangered Species:

1. Federally-listed or proposed threatened or endangered species and a delineation of any critical habitat in the area effected by the proposal;	Section 3.4, pp. 3-29 to 3-30 <hr/>
2. Potential impacts of the proposal and any alternatives on Federally-listed or proposed threatened or endangered species and proximity to designated critical habitat;	Section 3.4, pp. 3-25 to 3-28 <hr/>
3. Determinations/findings and concurrence correspondence from the USFWS and NMFS concerning whether or not the proposal is likely to affect a listed or proposed species or designated critical habitat; Provide a final determination of effect utilizing NEPA language:	
a) Species/Habitat Not Present	
b) Species/Habitat Present, No Effect	
c) Species/Habitat Present May Effect, Not Likely to Adversely Affect (USFWS provides determination after formal/informal consultation)	N/A <hr/>
4. If necessary, mitigation measures.	N/A <hr/>

3.6.3 Migratory Bird Treaty Act

1. Potential direct impacts to birds through collision, and direct or indirect impacts to nests or nesting or migratory habitat;	Section 3.4, pp. 3-27 to 3-28 <hr/>
2. Potential impacts of the proposal to “important bird areas” as identified by the National Audubon Society;	Section 3.4, p. 3-30 to 3-31 <hr/>
3. Potential impacts of the proposal to critical areas for use by shorebirds, as identified in the Western Hemisphere Shorebird Reserve Network;	Section 3.4, p. 3-31 <hr/>
4. If the proposal is likely to adversely affect any of the listed species or habitats in the above datasets, consultation with the USFWS will be required to identify project alternatives and avoidance measures;	N/A <hr/> N/A <hr/>
5. If necessary, any mitigation measures.	<hr/>

3.6.4 INVASIVE SPECIES

1. Any existing invasive plant or animal terrestrial or aquatic species that could do harm to native habitats within the area affected by the proposal;	Section 3.4, p. 3-31 <hr/>
2. State listings of noxious weeds and other invasive species;	Section 3.4, p. 3-31 <hr/>
3. The potential effect of disturbances or likelihood the proposal could introduce, spread, or contribute to the continued existence of noxious weeds or non-native species in the area affected by the proposal;	Section 3.4, p. 3-31 <hr/>
4. As necessary, any mitigation measures	N/A <hr/>

3.7 HISTORIC AND CULTURAL PROPERTIES

1. The EA must describe all steps taken to identify historic properties as required under section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act. USDA RD CA has a Programmatic Agreement (PA) with the State Historic Preservation Officer (SHPO) that frequently eliminates the need for SHPO consultation. Applicants should not contact the SHPO, without asking the USDA RD processing office to determine how to proceed under the PA.

Section 3.5, pp. 3-32 to 3-33

a) A clear delineation of the APE;

Appendix D _____

b) A description of the methods used to identify historic properties and cultural resources within the APE;

Section 3.5, pp. 3-32 to 3-33

Appendix D _____

c) If there is an adverse effect to a historic property or cultural resource, alternatives that were considered that would avoid, minimize, or mitigate the adverse effect to the historic property or cultural resource;

Section 3.5, pp. 3-34 to 3-36

d) Documentation of consultation and findings with the SHPO, THPO and other consulting parties; the Agency will, as needed, assist applicants in SHPO and tribal consultation;

Section 3.5, pp. 3-34 to

3-36

e) If necessary, any mitigation measures.

Section 3.5, pp. 3-35

2. Tribal Consultation.

Federally recognized Indian tribes are legally considered sovereign nations entitled to a special government-to-government relationship with the federal government. An applicant must involve USDA RD in S.106 review whenever a federally recognized Indian tribe requests the participation of the federal agency. The direct participation of USDA RD is required because all decision-making authority in S.106 review rests with the federal agency.

Section 3.5, pp. 3-35 to

3-36 _____

3. Provide a final determination of effect utilizing NEPA language:

Section 3.5, pp. 3-35 to

3-36 _____

a) No Potential to Affect

b) No Historic Properties Affected

c) No Adverse Effect to Historic Properties (requires consultation with SHPO/THPO)

Section 3.5, pp. 3-35 to

3-36 _____

4. Provide mitigation measures as applicable.

3.8 AESTHETICS

1. Visually sensitive areas or landscape features that are in the vicinity of the proposal;

Section 3.1, pp. 3-5 to 3-6

2. Extent to which an area would be visually impacted by the proposal, considering structure heights, viewing angles, and the degree of screening between the project and the sensitive area or feature;

Section 3.1, pp. 3-7 to 3-8

3. If necessary, any mitigation measures.

N/A

3.9 AIR QUALITY

- | | |
|---|------------------------------------|
| 1. If the proposal is located in a designated nonattainment or maintenance area, it may require a conformity evaluation in accordance with the applicable implementation plan; | N/A, Section 3.3, pp. 3-20 to 3-21 |
| 2. If the above applies, describe the ambient or seasonal meteorological conditions to the extent that they influence dispersal or fate of emissions, and the type and levels of pollutant emissions resulting from construction and operation of the facility; for more complex proposals, air modeling may be required; | N/A |
| 3. Any required permits and the status or result of associated processes, hearings and agency decisions for issuance; | Section 2.9, p. 2-17 |
| 4. Anticipated effects (including duration) on air quality from construction activities, especially if the appropriate enforcement agency has not provided an exemption or project review; | Section 3.3, pp. 3-18 to 3-19 |
| 5. Any special conditions identified in permits required as mitigation for emissions; | N/A |
| 6. Sources of odors and mitigation measures necessary to minimize off-site migration of odors; | Section 3.3, p. 3-20 |
| 7. If necessary, any mitigation measures. | N/A |

3.10 SOCIO-ECONOMIC IMPACT ASSESSMENT/ENVIRONMENTAL JUSTICE:

- | | |
|---|--------------------------------|
| 1. How the proposal would change people's lives <u>beyond</u> the immediate provision of a service (electricity, water, telecommunications, public services, etc.) or facility (housing, community facility, business, etc.); | Section 3.14, pp. 3-65 to 3-79 |
| 2. Describe how the proposal or the area affected by the proposal is situated in proximity to commercial/residential areas, public facilities, or key transportation facilities. How would the proposal change traffic patterns or intensity? Would there be an increased risk for accidents? Would there be more noise or other disruption? | Section 3.17, pp. 3-84 to 3-88 |
| 3. Population numbers and how they are projected to change in magnitude or distribution; | Section 3.14, p. 3-75 |
| 4. Consider how individual businesses and business districts might be affected in terms of the level of commerce; | Section 3.14, pp. 3-78 to 3-79 |
| 5. The presence and distribution of any minority and low-income populations in the study area (the Agency will complete RD Form 2006-38 , and it should be included as an attachment to the EA); if such populations are present, describe their opportunity to participate in the environmental review process, particularly if there is a potential for a disproportionate adverse effect, and any extra outreach measures such as providing public notices in specific locations or in additional languages; | Section 3.14, pp 3-76 to 3-79 |
| 6. If necessary, any mitigation measures that would reduce adverse human health or environmental effects to minority and low-income populations. | N/A |

3.11 MISCELLANEOUS ISSUES

3.11.1 NOISE

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1. The ambient noise environment, the distance of the proposal from noise sensitive receptors, proposed hours of operation, and any applicable noise regulations or ordinances that were considered; | Section 3.13, pp. 3-68 to 3-71
<hr/> |
| 2. Noise sources during construction and operation and the projected levels (intensity, duration, periodization) of noise generated; | Section 3.13, pp. 3-71 to 3-74
<hr/> |
| 3. If necessary, mitigation measures to reduce sound levels to noise sensitive receptors. | N/A |

3.11.2 TRANSPORTATION

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1. The existing facilities and routes (road, rail, air) that could be affected by the proposal; consider the need for road realignments, signalization, increased delay times, etc., or if the proposal or components need to be re-located to avoid an impact; indicate if any transportation studies were conducted and summarize the results; | Section 3.17, pp. 3-84 to 3-85
<hr/> |
| 2. Coordination conducted with federal and state transportation agencies, and any permissions/authorizations required/obtained or measures taken to accommodate agency concerns; | N/A
<hr/> |
| 3. Movement of products, raw material or waste in and out of a proposed facility and how such movements may affect congestion, noise, odors, or dust; | Section 3.17, pp. 3-85 to 3-88
<hr/> |
| 4. Impacts of the proposal related to transportation patterns, circulation, ingress and egress; | Section 3.17, pp. 3-84 to 3-88
<hr/> |
| 5. If necessary, any mitigation measures. | Section 3.17, pp. 3-87 to 3-88 |

3.12 HUMAN HEALTH AND SAFETY

3.12.1 ELECTROMAGNETIC FIELDS AND SAFETY

- | | |
|--|-----------------------------------|
| 1. Any design parameters that would limit and ameliorate receptor exposure to EMFs; | Section 3.9, p 3-57, N/A
<hr/> |
| 2. If any state-specific design or siting requirements exist regarding EMF, and, if so, how they are incorporated into project planning; | N/A
<hr/> |
| 3. How EMF considerations were included in the siting process to limit or avoid exposure to humans or sensitive receptors such as schools; | N/A
<hr/> |
| 4. If necessary, any mitigation measures. | N/A
<hr/> |

3.12.2 ENVIRONMENTAL RISK MANAGEMENT

- | | |
|--|--------------------------------------|
| 1. Recognized environmental conditions identified in a Phase I or II Environmental Site Assessment (ESA) or a Transaction Screen Questionnaire (TSQ) (or other environmental due diligence procedures) | <u>Section 3.9, pp. 3-56 to 3-57</u> |
| 2. Presence of lead paint, asbestos or mold; | <u>Section 3.9, p. 3-54</u> |
| 3. Use, storage, release and/or disposal of toxic materials; | <u>Section 3.9, pp. 3-53 to 3-57</u> |
| 4. Any USEPA or state Superfund site or priority clean-up site on or near the site of the proposal; | <u>Section 3.9, p. 3-57</u> |
| 5. Whether applicant/facility is under any corrective action or regulatory remedial action plan; | <u>Section 3.9, p. 3-57</u> |
| 6. Status of any violations and cleanup; | <u>Section 3.9, p. 3-57</u> |
| 7. Presence of above-ground or underground storage tanks; | <u>Section 3.9, p. 3-57</u> |
| 8. Whether the operation of facility could result in accidental spills of hazardous or toxic substances or result in hazardous air or water emissions; | <u>Section 3.9, pp. 3-53 to 3-57</u> |
| 9. If necessary, any mitigation measures. | <u>Section 3.9, pp. 3-55 to 3-57</u> |

3.13 CORRIDOR ANALYSIS (if applicable)

- | | |
|---|------------|
| 1. Affected Environment | <u>N/A</u> |
| 2. Environmental Consequences | <u>N/A</u> |
| 3. If necessary, any mitigation measures. | <u>N/A</u> |

4.0) CUMULATIVE EFFECTS

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1. Summarize the direct and indirect impacts of the proposal on the environmental resources. | <u>Section 3.21, pp. 3-100 to 3-101</u> |
| 2. Identify the spatial and temporal boundaries of the impacts. | <u>Section 3.21, pp. 3-100 to 3-101</u> |
| 3. Summary table integrating the identified direct and indirect effects. | <u>Section 3.21, pp. 3-100 to 3-101</u> |

5.0) SUMMARY OF MITIGATION MEASURES

Summary of the required mitigation measures, timing and the responsible party for implementing the mitigation measures.

See Mitigation Monitoring
and Reporting Program,

6.0) COORDINATION, CONSULTATION AND CORRESPONDENCE

Copies of all coordination and consultation letters

Appendix D

7.0) REFERENCES

Section 6.2, p. 6-1

8.0) LIST OF PREPARERS

9.0) PUBLIC NOTICES

- a) Notice of Availability (NOA). EAs will require a public notice announcing the availability of the EA for public review and announcing a comment period. Once the EA has been completed and accepted by the Agency as a federal document, the Agency will authorize the applicant to publish a public notice in a newspaper(s) of general circulation in the area where the proposal is located any unique public notice requirements will be conveyed to the applicant. The public is to be afforded 14-30 days to submit comments (consult with the Agency to determine the appropriate period of time). The Agency will review and respond to comments received and direct the applicant to make any necessary or appropriate changes to the EA.

- b) Finding of No Significant Impact (FONSI). A second public notice will be published by the applicant announcing the availability of the Agency's environmental decision (FONSI). Publication authorization and any specific requirements will be provided to the applicant by the Agency. There is no public comment period for the FONSI. The notice shall briefly describe the applicant's proposal, reasons why the proposal will not have a significant impact on the human environment, summarize how any outstanding issues or public/agency comments were resolved, including mitigation measures adopted to address any adverse impacts, and include the statement that an EIS will not be prepared. The notice must mention the Agency funding the proposal as well as identify the locations where the public may review the EA and FONSI. Upon request, the Agency or the applicant will make available or provide copies of the EA/FONSI to anyone requesting them. Documents will be provided without charge or at a cost not exceeding reproduction costs.

- c) The EA public notices (NOA and FONSI) should also incorporate as appropriate preliminary and final notice language if there will be impacts to floodplains or wetlands.